

---

Graduate Certificate in Clinical Negligence Law

## Breach of Duty in Clinical Negligence Claims

---

### Breach of Duty in Clinical Negligence Claims

Clinical negligence occurs when a healthcare professional fails to provide an adequate standard of care, resulting in harm to a patient. One of the key elements in establishing clinical negligence is proving that there has been a breach of duty by the healthcare provider.

#### Breach of Duty Defined

A breach of duty occurs when a healthcare provider fails to meet the standard of care expected of them in their particular field. This standard of care is based on what a reasonable healthcare professional would do in a similar situation. It is important to note that the standard of care may vary depending on the specialty of the healthcare provider and the circumstances of the case.

#### Objective Standard

The standard of care is an objective one, meaning that it is not based on the individual characteristics or abilities of the healthcare provider in question. Instead, it is based on what a reasonable healthcare professional with similar skills and training would do in the same situation. This helps to ensure consistency in the assessment of breach of duty in clinical negligence claims.

#### Professional Guidelines

In determining whether a breach of duty has occurred, the court may refer to professional guidelines or best practices in the relevant field of healthcare. These guidelines can help establish what is considered acceptable practice and what falls below the standard of care expected of healthcare providers.

#### Expert Evidence

In many clinical negligence cases, expert evidence is required to establish whether a breach of duty has occurred. Experts in the relevant field of healthcare can provide their opinion on whether the healthcare provider's actions or omissions fell below the standard of care expected. This expert evidence is crucial in demonstrating breach of duty.

#### Causation

In addition to proving that a breach of duty has occurred, it is also necessary to establish that the breach of duty caused harm or injury to the patient. This concept is known as causation, and it is an essential element in clinical negligence claims. The breach of duty must be directly linked to the harm suffered by the patient.

---

## Reasonableness Test

The reasonableness test is often applied in determining breach of duty in clinical negligence claims. This test considers whether the healthcare provider's actions or omissions were reasonable in the circumstances. If a reasonable healthcare professional would have acted differently in the same situation, then a breach of duty may have occurred.

## Foreseeability

Another important factor in establishing breach of duty is foreseeability. Healthcare providers are expected to anticipate potential risks and take appropriate measures to prevent harm to their patients. If harm was foreseeable and could have been prevented with reasonable care, a breach of duty may have occurred.

## Proving Breach of Duty

Proving breach of duty in clinical negligence claims can be challenging, as it requires demonstrating that the healthcare provider's actions or omissions fell below the standard of care expected of them. This often involves a detailed analysis of the facts of the case, expert evidence, and professional guidelines.

## Examples of Breach of Duty

There are many situations where a breach of duty may occur in clinical negligence claims. For example, a surgeon may fail to obtain informed consent from a patient before performing a procedure, a nurse may administer the wrong medication to a patient, or a physician may misdiagnose a condition due to negligence. In each of these cases, a breach of duty may have occurred.

## Legal Standards

The legal standards for breach of duty in clinical negligence claims may vary depending on the jurisdiction and the specific circumstances of the case. However, the basic principles of establishing breach of duty remain consistent across different legal systems. It is essential to consult with legal experts to understand the specific standards that apply in a particular case.

## Challenges in Proving Breach of Duty

There are several challenges in proving breach of duty in clinical negligence claims. These challenges may include complex medical issues, conflicting expert evidence, and the need to demonstrate a direct link between the breach of duty and the harm suffered by the patient. Overcoming these challenges requires a thorough understanding of the legal and medical aspects of the case.

## Defences to Breach of Duty

Healthcare providers may raise defences to breach of duty in clinical negligence claims. These defences may include arguing that the actions or omissions in question were in line with accepted practice, that the harm

---

suffered by the patient was not a result of the breach of duty, or that the healthcare provider took all reasonable precautions to prevent harm. It is important to carefully consider these defences when pursuing a clinical negligence claim.

### Impact of Breach of Duty

A breach of duty in a clinical negligence claim can have serious consequences for both the healthcare provider and the patient. If a breach of duty is established, the healthcare provider may be held liable for damages, including compensation for the harm suffered by the patient. This can have a significant financial and reputational impact on the healthcare provider.

### Conclusion

Establishing breach of duty is a crucial element in proving clinical negligence claims. It requires demonstrating that the healthcare provider failed to meet the standard of care expected of them, resulting in harm to the patient. By understanding the key terms and concepts related to breach of duty, legal professionals can effectively navigate clinical negligence claims and advocate for their clients.