

---

Professional Certificate in Running Injury Prevention

## Assessment and Diagnosis of Running Injuries

---

Running injury terminology forms the foundation for accurate assessment and effective diagnosis. Understanding each term enables clinicians, coaches, and athletes to communicate precisely, identify underlying causes, and design targeted interventions. The following glossary presents the most frequently encountered concepts in the context of the Professional Certificate in Running Injury Prevention. Each definition is accompanied by a brief example, practical application, and common challenges that may arise during assessment.

**Overuse injury** – A condition that develops gradually as a result of repetitive loading without adequate recovery. Typical examples include medial tibial stress syndrome and Achilles tendinopathy. In practice, an overuse injury is identified when pain intensifies during or after a training session and does not subside with short rest periods. A major challenge is differentiating early-stage overuse injuries from normal training soreness; clinicians must rely on detailed history and symptom patterns.

**Acute injury** – Damage that occurs suddenly, often due to a specific traumatic event such as a slip, fall, or sudden change in direction. Common acute injuries in runners are ankle sprains and hamstring strains. The hallmark of an acute injury is an immediate onset of pain, swelling, or loss of function. Rapid identification is essential because delayed treatment can lead to secondary complications, such as chronic instability.

**Biomechanics** – The study of forces acting on the body and the motion they produce. In running, biomechanics encompasses both kinematics (movement) and kinetics (forces). A runner with excessive vertical oscillation may expend unnecessary energy, while an athlete with high ground reaction force peaks may be at risk for stress fractures. The challenge for practitioners is to translate complex laboratory data into actionable coaching cues.

**Kinematics** – The description of motion without reference to the forces that cause it. Key kinematic variables in running include stride length, cadence, foot strike angle, and joint angles at initial contact. For example, a runner who lands with the knee in excessive flexion may experience increased patellofemoral joint stress. Assessing kinematics often requires video analysis; however, inconsistent camera placement can compromise measurement reliability.

**Kinetics** – The analysis of forces that produce or modify movement. In running, the primary kinetic variable is the ground reaction force (GRF). The GRF curve typically displays an initial impact peak followed by an active peak. A high loading rate on the impact peak is linked to tibial stress fractures. Obtaining accurate kinetic data frequently demands force plates or instrumented treadmills, which may be unavailable in many field settings.

**Ground reaction force** – The force exerted by the ground on the body during foot contact. It is measured in three orthogonal directions: Vertical, anterior-posterior, and medial-lateral. A runner with a pronounced medial-lateral GRF component may develop a valgus knee alignment. Interpreting GRF patterns requires an understanding of both magnitude and timing; misinterpretation can lead to inappropriate interventions.

**Impact peak** – The first, rapid increase in vertical GRF occurring shortly after foot strike. Higher impact peaks are often observed in runners who land with a heel strike. Excessive impact peaks are associated with injuries such as plantar fasciitis and shin splints. A practical application is to advise a transition to a mid-foot strike to reduce the impact peak, but the challenge lies in ensuring the transition is gradual to avoid new injuries.

**Loading rate** – The speed at which force is applied to the musculoskeletal system, typically expressed in body weights per second (BW/s). A high loading rate indicates rapid force transmission and is a risk factor for stress fractures. Clinicians can estimate loading rate using accelerometer data from wearable sensors, yet sensor placement errors can produce misleading values.

**Pronunciation** – The term is often confused with “pronation.” In the running context, pronation describes the inward roll of the foot after heel contact. Normal pronation allows the foot to adapt to uneven surfaces and to absorb shock. Overpronation, where the foot rolls excessively, can cause medial tibial stress syndrome and plantar fasciitis. Underpronation (or supination) leads to insufficient shock absorption and may predispose the runner to lateral ankle sprains. Identifying pronation type typically involves a visual foot-wear assessment or a static foot posture test; however, dynamic pronation may differ from static observations, presenting a diagnostic challenge.

**Neutral foot** – A foot posture that exhibits neither excessive pronation nor supination. Runners with a neutral foot are often considered biomechanically efficient, though individual variability means that neutral posture does not guarantee injury-free status. The challenge lies in recognizing that neutral foot posture can still accompany other risk factors such as weak hip abductors.

**Valgus** – An outward angulation of a joint, commonly referenced in the knee (i.e., dynamic valgus) and foot (i.e., valgus hindfoot). Dynamic valgus during the stance phase is linked to patellofemoral pain syndrome. A practical assessment involves observing the knee position during a single-leg squat; excessive medial collapse suggests poor hip stability.

**Varus** – An inward angulation of a joint. In the lower limb, varus alignment may increase lateral knee stress and predispose to iliotibial band syndrome. Detecting varus alignment can be performed with a simple goniometer measurement of the tibial-femoral angle while the athlete stands.

**Navicular drop** – The vertical displacement of the navicular bone from a seated (non-weight-bearing) to a standing (weight-bearing) position. A large navicular drop (>10 mm) is indicative of excessive pronation. Clinicians use a ruler or a calibrated ruler to measure the distance; however, inter-rater reliability can be limited without standardized protocols.

**Q-angle** – The angle formed by a line drawn from the anterior superior iliac spine (ASIS) to the center of the patella and a second line from the patella to the tibial tubercle. A larger Q-angle ( $>20^\circ$  in males,  $>25^\circ$  in females) is associated with increased lateral patellar tracking and may contribute to patellofemoral pain. Measuring the Q-angle is straightforward with a goniometer, yet soft-tissue variability can affect accuracy.

**Intrinsic foot muscles** – Small muscles located within the foot that support the arch and contribute to fine motor control. Weakness in these muscles can exacerbate pronation and increase plantar pressure. Strengthening protocols, such as short foot exercises, target these muscles. A challenge is that many runners are unaware of these muscles, leading to low compliance with prescribed exercises.

**Extrinsic foot muscles** – Larger muscles that originate in the lower leg and insert on the foot, such as the tibialis posterior and peroneus longus. These muscles play a critical role in controlling foot motion during gait. Dysfunction of the tibialis posterior is a common contributor to adult-acquired flatfoot. Clinical testing includes the “hollow-away” test for tibialis posterior strength; however, isolating these muscles can be difficult without specialized equipment.

**Medial tibial stress syndrome (MTSS)** – Often referred to as “shin splints,” MTSS is characterized by diffuse pain along the posteromedial border of the tibia, typically worsened by running and relieved by rest. The etiology involves repetitive tensile strain on the tibial periosteum, often linked to high training volume and excessive pronation. An example of a practical application is prescribing a gradual reduction in mileage combined with gait retraining to limit excessive pronation. A common challenge is differentiating MTSS from a tibial stress fracture, which requires imaging for confirmation.

**Tibial stress fracture** – A small crack in the tibial cortex caused by repetitive loading that exceeds bone remodeling capacity. Symptoms include localized, sharp pain that intensifies with activity and may persist at rest in later stages. Diagnosis often relies on MRI or bone scan, as plain X-rays may appear normal initially. Management includes complete rest, gradual return to load, and addressing contributing factors such as high loading rate. The challenge is early detection; delayed diagnosis can lead to delayed union or non-union.

**Achilles tendinopathy** – A degenerative condition of the Achilles tendon characterized by pain, stiffness, and reduced strength, especially during push-off. Risk factors include sudden increases in training intensity, limited ankle dorsiflexion, and calf muscle tightness. A typical clinical test is the calf-raise endurance test, where the runner performs repeated single-leg raises until failure. One challenge is distinguishing tendinopathy from an acute rupture; imaging may be required for definitive diagnosis.

**Plantar fasciitis** – Inflammation of the plantar fascia, presenting as sharp heel pain that is worst with the first steps in the morning. Contributing factors include excessive pronation, limited ankle dorsiflexion, and high-impact training. A practical intervention involves night splints to maintain plantar fascia stretch, combined with calf stretching. A diagnostic challenge is that plantar fascia thickness on ultrasound may not correlate directly with symptom severity, requiring a comprehensive clinical assessment.

Iliotibial band syndrome (ITBS) – Lateral knee pain caused by friction of the iliotibial band over the lateral femoral epicondyle during repetitive knee flexion/extension. It is common in high-volume runners, particularly those with a high cadence and excessive hip adduction. The Ober's test and the Noble compression test are used to assess tightness and tenderness. A challenge is that ITBS may coexist with other lateral structures dysfunction, necessitating a broad assessment.

Patellofemoral pain syndrome (PFPS) – Diffuse anterior knee pain that worsens with activities that increase patellofemoral joint stress, such as squatting, climbing stairs, or prolonged sitting. Contributing factors include hip weakness, increased Q-angle, and abnormal foot mechanics. The step-down test is frequently employed to evaluate pain provocation. PFPS is notoriously multifactorial; thus, treatment plans must address strength, flexibility, and movement patterns simultaneously.

Hip adductor strain – A muscle strain affecting the adductor group, often manifested as groin pain that intensifies with adduction movements. In runners, a tight adductor complex can alter pelvic alignment, increasing load on the knee and hip. A practical assessment includes the resisted adduction test, where pain or weakness indicates involvement. The challenge lies in differentiating adductor strain from adductor-related hip joint pathology, such as labral tears.

Hamstring strain – A tear or over-stretching of the hamstring muscle group, commonly occurring during acceleration phases of sprinting or hill running. Symptoms include sudden posterior thigh pain, swelling, and a palpable defect in severe cases. The straight-leg raise test can help identify functional deficits. A key challenge is the high recurrence rate; insufficient rehabilitation or premature return to running can lead to re-injury.

Gluteal tendinopathy – Degeneration of the gluteus medius or minimus tendons, causing lateral hip pain that worsens with weight-bearing activities. Risk factors include prolonged sitting, weak hip abductors, and excessive hip adduction during stance. The single-leg squat test often reproduces pain. Addressing gluteal tendinopathy requires both load management and targeted strengthening, yet many clinicians underestimate the importance of hip abductor endurance.

Trochanteric bursitis – Inflammation of the bursa overlying the greater trochanter, presenting as lateral hip pain that may radiate down the thigh. It frequently co-exists with gluteal tendinopathy. Palpation of the trochanteric region reproduces tenderness. A challenge is that bursitis may be secondary to underlying tendinopathy, so treating only the bursa without addressing tendon pathology may result in persistent symptoms.

Stress fracture – A fracture line that develops in a bone due to repetitive sub-threshold loading. In runners, common sites include the metatarsals, tibia, and femur. Pain is localized and worsens with activity; rest often leads to rapid symptom reduction. Diagnosis relies on imaging; MRI is the gold standard for early detection. Management includes immobilization, load modification, and addressing risk factors such as low bone mineral density. The challenge is that stress fractures may be missed on initial radiographs, leading to

continued training and worsening injury.

**MRI** – Magnetic resonance imaging, a non-invasive imaging modality that provides detailed soft-tissue and bone marrow information. It is highly sensitive for detecting early stress fractures, tendon pathology, and bone edema. A practical limitation is cost and limited availability in some settings.

**Ultrasound** – A portable imaging technique useful for visualizing superficial structures such as tendons, fascia, and bursae. It can guide injections and assess tendon thickness in real time. Operator skill significantly influences image quality, which can be a barrier for clinicians without extensive training.

**X-ray** – A radiographic method primarily used to detect fractures and assess bone alignment. While readily available, X-rays are insensitive to early stress fractures and soft-tissue injuries. They are nevertheless valuable for ruling out gross fractures in acute presentations.

**Bone scan** – A nuclear medicine study that detects increased osteoblastic activity, making it sensitive for early stress fractures. However, specificity is low, as increased uptake can also be seen in infection or tumors. Its use is generally reserved for cases where MRI is contraindicated.

**Clinical examination** – The systematic process of gathering subjective and objective information to form a diagnosis. It includes history taking, observation, palpation, range-of-motion testing, strength assessment, and functional tests. A thorough clinical examination can often identify the injury without reliance on imaging, though imaging may be required for confirmation.

**History taking** – The first step in clinical examination, focusing on the onset, location, quality, and aggravating/relieving factors of pain. A detailed training log review can reveal sudden increases in mileage, intensity spikes, or inadequate rest that may have precipitated the injury. A common challenge is that athletes may under-report symptoms to avoid being sidelined, leading to incomplete histories.

**Pain mapping** – A technique where the athlete indicates precise pain locations on a body diagram. This helps differentiate between superficial and deep sources of discomfort. For instance, a runner who marks pain over the lateral knee may be experiencing ITBS, whereas diffuse anterior knee pain may suggest PFPS. The challenge is that pain perception is subjective and can be influenced by psychosocial factors.

**Functional testing** – A series of movement-based assessments designed to evaluate the integrated performance of strength, flexibility, balance, and motor control. Common functional tests for runners include the single-leg squat, hop test, and drop jump. These tests reveal compensatory patterns that may not be evident during static examinations. A limitation is that test reliability can be affected by fatigue or inconsistent instruction.

**Single-leg squat** – An assessment where the athlete squats on one leg while maintaining trunk upright. Observation focuses on knee valgus, hip drop, and foot pronation. Excessive medial knee collapse often indicates weak hip abductors. The test is simple to administer but requires the clinician to have a trained

eye for subtle deviations.

**Single-leg hop** – A performance-based test measuring the distance an athlete can hop forward on one leg. It assesses power, balance, and lower-limb symmetry. A significant side-to-side difference (> 10%) may suggest unilateral deficits. The challenge is ensuring that the athlete's effort is maximal and that fatigue does not confound the results.

**Drop jump** – A plyometric test where the athlete steps off a box and immediately jumps upon ground contact. It evaluates reactive strength and landing mechanics. High ground-reaction force peaks and poor knee alignment during the drop jump can indicate increased injury risk. Proper box height selection is crucial; too high a box may cause unsafe landings for some runners.

**Running gait analysis** – A comprehensive visual or instrumented examination of a runner's stride while moving at a controlled speed. It incorporates both kinematic and kinetic observations. A typical field gait analysis involves video capture from sagittal and frontal planes, allowing clinicians to assess foot strike, stride length, and pelvic stability. The main challenge is that a single session may not capture day-to-day variability in gait patterns.

**Video analysis** – The use of recorded footage to evaluate movement mechanics. Slow-motion playback enables detailed observation of joint angles and timing. For example, reviewing heel-strike videos can reveal early heel contact that contributes to high impact peaks. Limitations include the need for proper camera placement and the potential for parallax error if the camera is not aligned with the plane of motion.

**Wearable sensors** – Devices such as accelerometers, gyroscopes, and pressure insoles that provide real-time data on running biomechanics. They can estimate cadence, ground-reaction force, and loading rate. A practical application is using a foot-mounted accelerometer to monitor impact peaks during training. Sensor drift and placement inconsistency are common challenges that can affect data accuracy.

**Dynamic valgus** – The inward collapse of the knee and hip during weight-bearing activities, often observed during single-leg tasks. It is associated with weak gluteus medius and external rotator muscles. Intervention includes targeted hip abductor strengthening and neuromuscular training. Detecting dynamic valgus in the field may be difficult without video support, leading to under-recognition.

**Core stability** – The ability of the trunk muscles to maintain a stable spine and pelvis during dynamic activities. Poor core stability can lead to excessive pelvic tilt, influencing lower-limb alignment and increasing injury risk. Core assessments may involve the dead-bug or plank hold. A challenge is that core strength does not automatically translate to improved running mechanics without specific transfer training.

**Lumbo-pelvic control** – The coordinated regulation of lumbar spine and pelvis during movement. Deficits are linked to increased lumbar extension and anterior pelvic tilt, which can affect hip and knee loading. Clinical tests include the seated trunk rotation and the hip hinge test. The difficulty lies in isolating lumbar control from hip motion during assessment.

**Strength testing** – The measurement of muscle force production, often using handheld dynamometers or manual resistance. For runners, important strength assessments include hip abductor, quadriceps, and calf strength. A practical method is the handheld dynamometer hip abduction test, where the athlete exerts maximal force against the device. Inter-tester reliability can vary, making standardized protocols essential.

**Range of motion (ROM)** – The degree of movement available at a joint. Limited ROM in the ankle dorsiflexion, for example, can increase pronation and tibial stress. Goniometric measurement of ankle dorsiflexion with the knee flexed versus extended helps identify gastrocnemius versus soleus tightness. A common obstacle is that ROM can be influenced by patient effort and pain tolerance, requiring repeated measures for accuracy.

**Flexibility** – The ability of muscles and connective tissues to lengthen. While flexibility is often equated with ROM, it also involves tissue elasticity. Overly tight hamstrings can alter pelvic tilt and increase lumbar stress. Stretching protocols, such as the standing hamstring stretch, are frequently prescribed, yet adherence is a frequent barrier.

**Muscle imbalances** – Disproportionate strength or flexibility between opposing muscle groups, such as a dominant quadriceps relative to hamstrings. These imbalances can alter joint loading patterns, contributing to injury. A practical approach is to conduct a strength ratio test (hamstring-to-quadriceps ratio) and prescribe corrective exercises when ratios fall outside normative ranges (e.g., Overpronation – Excessive inward roll of the foot beyond the normal range, often identified by a low arch, medial tibial stress, and increased navicular drop. Overpronation may be mitigated with motion control shoes or orthotics. However, over-reliance on orthotics without addressing underlying muscular deficits can lead to dependency and persistent issues.

**Underpronation** – Also called supination; a lack of sufficient inward roll, resulting in a high-arched foot and reduced shock absorption. Runners with underpronation may experience lateral ankle sprains and metatarsal stress fractures. A practical intervention includes recommending cushioned footwear that promotes a more neutral foot strike.

**Cushioning** – The material properties of a shoe that attenuate impact forces. While increased cushioning may reduce impact peaks, studies suggest that excessive cushioning can alter proprioception and lead to altered gait patterns. Selecting appropriate cushioning requires balancing impact attenuation with the runner's proprioceptive needs.

**Shoe wear** – The pattern of tread degradation on a running shoe, which can provide clues about gait abnormalities. For example, uneven wear on the lateral edge may indicate supination. Monitoring shoe wear helps clinicians decide when to replace footwear and may guide gait retraining. A limitation is that wear patterns can be influenced by terrain and running surface, potentially confounding interpretation.

**Training load** – The cumulative amount of stress placed on the body during training, encompassing volume (mileage), intensity (pace, heart rate), and frequency (sessions per week). A sudden increase of > 10% in

weekly mileage is a common predictor of overuse injuries. Load monitoring tools, such as training diaries or digital platforms, enable objective tracking. However, self-reported data can be inaccurate, especially when athletes under-report high-intensity sessions.

**Periodization** – The systematic planning of training cycles to optimize performance while minimizing injury risk. It typically includes macro-, meso-, and micro-cycles that vary load and intensity. For runners, a periodized plan may incorporate base building, specific mileage phases, and tapering before competition. Implementing periodization can be challenging for athletes who train independently without coaching oversight.

**Progressive overload** – The principle of gradually increasing training stimulus to promote adaptation. In running, this may involve adding 5–10% mileage per week. While essential for performance gains, exceeding the body's capacity can precipitate injuries like MTSS. The challenge lies in balancing progressive overload with adequate recovery, especially during high-stress phases of competition.

**Training error** – Any deviation from a planned training schedule that increases injury risk, such as unplanned high-intensity sessions, inadequate rest, or abrupt changes in terrain. Identifying training errors often requires a detailed review of the athlete's log and conversation about lifestyle stressors. Addressing these errors may involve education on proper pacing and recovery strategies.

**Acute:Chronic workload ratio (ACWR)** – A metric comparing recent training load (acute) to longer-term average load (chronic). Ratios above 1.3 are associated with a higher risk of injury. For example, a runner who spikes from 40 km/week to 60 km/week in a single week may exceed the safe ACWR threshold. Calculating ACWR requires consistent data entry, which can be hindered by incomplete logs.

**Recovery strategies** – Interventions aimed at restoring physiological and neuromuscular function after training. Common strategies include active recovery (low-intensity cycling), compression garments, foam rolling, and sleep optimization. While evidence supports the benefits of sleep and nutrition, the efficacy of modalities like cryotherapy remains debated. Selecting appropriate recovery methods depends on individual tolerance and training demands.

**Load management** – The deliberate manipulation of training variables to prevent excessive strain. It incorporates ACWR monitoring, periodization, and scheduled deload weeks. Load management is especially critical for athletes returning from injury, where a gradual re-introduction of stress is paramount. A notable challenge is athlete resistance to reduced mileage, often perceived as "training less."

**Risk factor assessment** – The systematic evaluation of intrinsic (e.g., Anatomy, biomechanics) and extrinsic (e.g., Training volume, surface) variables that predispose an athlete to injury. A comprehensive risk factor profile helps prioritize interventions. For instance, a runner with limited ankle dorsiflexion, high ACWR, and a history of shin splints may benefit from targeted ankle mobility work and load reduction. The difficulty lies in integrating multiple risk factors into a coherent, individualized plan.

**Screening questionnaire** – A standardized set of questions designed to identify potential injury risk factors quickly. Tools such as the Oslo Sports Trauma Research Centre (OSTRC) questionnaire capture pain, functional limitation, and training impact. Screening questionnaires are valuable for large groups but may lack specificity for individual diagnosis.

**Diagnostic imaging protocol** – A stepwise approach to selecting the appropriate imaging modality based on clinical suspicion. Typically, plain X-ray is first for suspected fractures, followed by MRI for soft-tissue pathology, and ultrasound for dynamic tendon assessment. Following a protocol reduces unnecessary imaging, but clinicians must balance cost, accessibility, and diagnostic yield.

**Rehabilitation progression** – The staged advancement of therapeutic exercises from pain-free range of motion to sport-specific functional training. A typical progression includes: 1) Pain control and mobility, 2) isolated strengthening, 3) neuromuscular control, 4) plyometrics, and 5) return-to-run protocols. Monitoring criteria such as pain levels, strength ratios, and functional test scores guides progression. A common barrier is premature progression, which can lead to re-injury.

**Return-to-run protocol** – A structured plan that gradually re-introduces running after injury rehabilitation. It often involves incremental increases in time or distance, followed by speed work, and finally race-specific training. Objective milestones, such as completing a 30-minute continuous run without pain, are used to determine readiness. The challenge is ensuring that the athlete adheres to the protocol, especially when eager to resume competition.

**Functional movement screen (FMS)** – A series of seven movement tests that assess mobility, stability, and symmetry. While not specific to running, the FMS can highlight deficits such as poor deep squat or hurdle step performance that may translate to running mechanics. Scores  $\leq 14$  are often associated with higher injury risk. Limitations include the subjective nature of scoring and potential lack of specificity for running-related injuries.

**Dynamic balance test** – An assessment of an athlete's ability to maintain stability while moving. The Y-Balance test, for example, measures reach distances in anterior, posterolateral, and posteromedial directions. Asymmetries greater than 4 cm may indicate a higher risk of lower-limb injury. Implementing the test requires a standardized setup and clear instructions to ensure reliability.

**Neuromuscular training** – Exercises that improve the coordination between the nervous system and muscles, enhancing proprioception and movement efficiency. Drills such as single-leg hops, lateral bounds, and agility ladder work are common. Neuromuscular training has been shown to reduce the incidence of ITBS and ACL injuries in runners. A challenge is maintaining motivation for repetitive drills, especially in seasoned athletes.

**Gait retraining** – The intentional modification of running mechanics to reduce harmful loading patterns. Techniques may include increasing cadence by 5–10%, encouraging a mid-foot strike, or reducing vertical oscillation. Gait retraining often utilizes real-time auditory or visual feedback. While effective, the adaptation

period can be uncomfortable, and athletes may experience transient increases in soreness.

**Foot orthoses** – Custom or prefabricated devices inserted into footwear to support the foot and alter load distribution. Orthoses can correct excessive pronation, improve shock attenuation, and relieve plantar pressure. Evidence shows mixed results for injury prevention, suggesting orthoses should be combined with strengthening and gait training. Compliance can be an issue, as some runners dislike the feel of orthoses.

**Strengthening program** – A systematic set of exercises targeting specific muscle groups to address deficits identified during assessment. For runners, key strengthening areas include the hip abductors, external rotators, calf muscles, and core. Progression is typically based on repetitions, load, and pain tolerance. A barrier to implementation is the time required for consistent strength sessions in addition to regular running mileage.

**Flexibility program** – A schedule of static and dynamic stretching aimed at improving muscle length and joint mobility. Stretching the gastrocnemius, hamstrings, and hip flexors is common for runners. Evidence suggests that static stretching before a run may temporarily reduce performance, so many clinicians recommend dynamic warm-ups instead. Ensuring athletes perform stretches correctly and consistently is a frequent challenge.

**Load monitoring app** – Digital platforms that allow athletes to record mileage, intensity, perceived exertion, and other variables. Apps can automatically calculate ACWR and flag spikes in training load. While convenient, data entry accuracy depends on user diligence, and some apps lack integration with wearable sensor data, limiting comprehensive analysis.

**Training diary** – A written or electronic log where athletes record detailed information about each session, including distance, terrain, footwear, weather, and subjective fatigue. Training diaries provide valuable context for clinicians when interpreting injury patterns. The main drawback is that athletes may omit “off-day” runs or downplay high-intensity work, skewing the data.

**Psychological factors** – Mental aspects such as stress, anxiety, and motivation that influence injury risk and recovery. High stress levels can impair sleep and immune function, increasing susceptibility to overuse injuries. Incorporating stress-management techniques, such as mindfulness or counseling, may enhance rehabilitation outcomes. However, mental health discussions can be stigmatized, limiting open communication.

**Sleep hygiene** – Practices that promote restorative sleep, including consistent bedtime, limiting screen exposure, and creating a dark environment. Adequate sleep (7–9 hours for adults) supports tissue repair and hormonal balance. Sleep deprivation is linked to higher ACWR values and slower recovery. Educating athletes about sleep importance is essential, yet many struggle to prioritize sleep amidst busy schedules.

**Nutrition** – The intake of macronutrients and micronutrients necessary for energy production, tissue repair, and immune function. Adequate protein (1.2–1.6 G/kg body weight) supports muscle recovery, while

calcium and vitamin D are vital for bone health. Nutrition counseling can mitigate injury risk, but individualized plans require collaboration with dietitians and athlete compliance.

**Bone health assessment** – Evaluation of skeletal integrity through methods such as dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry (DXA) scans, dietary analysis, and menstrual history (in female athletes). Low bone mineral density increases stress-fracture risk. Screening may be limited by cost and accessibility, making it most appropriate for athletes with recurrent stress injuries or known risk factors.

**Menstrual cycle monitoring** – Tracking menstrual regularity as an indicator of hormonal status and energy availability. Irregular cycles or amenorrhea can signal the female athlete triad, predisposing to low bone density and stress fractures. Open communication and education are required to encourage athletes to report menstrual changes without embarrassment.

**Energy availability** – The amount of dietary energy remaining for physiological functions after accounting for exercise energy expenditure. Low energy availability (Training surface – The type of ground on which running occurs (e.G., Asphalt, trail, treadmill). Hard surfaces increase impact forces, while uneven trails may increase ankle inversion/eversion demands. Surface selection should align with training goals and injury history. Transitioning between surfaces without adaptation can precipitate injuries such as ankle sprains or plantar fasciitis.

**Footwear selection** – Choosing shoes that match the runner’s foot type, gait, and training demands. Key considerations include pronation control, cushioning, drop, and durability. An ill-matched shoe can exacerbate existing biomechanical issues. Conducting a footwear assessment and recommending appropriate replacements every 300–500 km helps mitigate injury risk.

**Heat and humidity** – Environmental conditions that affect thermoregulation and perceived effort. Running in high heat can increase cardiovascular strain, leading to fatigue and altered gait, which may predispose to injuries. Strategies include adjusting pace, hydrating appropriately, and scheduling runs during cooler periods. Monitoring environmental conditions is often overlooked, especially in regions with variable climates.

**Altitude training** – Exposure to reduced oxygen levels to stimulate physiological adaptations. While beneficial for performance, altitude can increase fatigue and affect running mechanics, potentially raising injury risk if load is not adjusted. Gradual acclimatization and load reduction during the first week at altitude are recommended.

**Recovery modalities** – Interventions such as massage, compression, contrast therapy, and active recovery used to accelerate post-exercise healing. Evidence for some modalities is mixed; however, athletes often report subjective benefits. The key is integrating these modalities into a balanced recovery plan without over-reliance that may mask underlying training errors.

**Re-injury risk** – The probability of sustaining the same injury after returning to sport. Factors influencing

re-injury include incomplete rehabilitation, premature return, and persistent biomechanical deficits. A systematic return-to-run protocol with objective criteria reduces re-injury rates.

**Clinical decision-making** – The process by which clinicians integrate assessment findings, imaging results, and athlete goals to formulate a diagnosis and treatment plan. Decision-making models, such as the biopsychosocial approach, emphasize the interaction of physical, psychological, and social factors. Effective decision-making requires clear communication, patient education, and ongoing reassessment.

**Documentation** – Accurate recording of assessment findings, treatment interventions, and progress notes. Thorough documentation supports continuity of care, legal protection, and research data collection. Electronic health records facilitate standardized entry, yet may limit narrative detail if templates are overly restrictive.

**Interdisciplinary collaboration** – Working with physiotherapists, strength coaches, nutritionists, and sports psychologists to address the multifactorial nature of running injuries. Collaborative care ensures comprehensive management of both physical and non-physical contributors. Coordination challenges include scheduling conflicts and differing professional terminologies.

**Evidence-based practice** – Integrating the best available research with clinical expertise and patient preferences. For running injury assessment, this means staying current with studies on ACWR, gait retraining, and orthotic efficacy. Barriers include limited time for literature review and the rapid evolution of sport-science research.