
Professional Certificate in Environmental Economics

Valuation Of Environmental Resources

Environmental valuation is the systematic process of assigning monetary or non-monetary worth to natural resources, ecosystem functions, and the services they provide to society. It enables decision-makers to compare the benefits of preserving or restoring natural assets with the costs of alternative uses, such as development or extraction. The field draws on micro-economic theory, welfare economics, and ecological science, and it is central to the practice of environmental economics.

Market price is the most straightforward indicator of value. When a resource is bought and sold in an active market, the transaction price reflects the willingness of buyers to pay and sellers to accept. However, many environmental goods—clean air, biodiversity, cultural heritage—do not have observable market prices because they are public goods, are not owned, or are difficult to isolate. Consequently, analysts rely on non-market valuation techniques to infer value.

Willingness to pay (WTP) measures the maximum amount an individual would sacrifice to obtain a benefit or avoid a loss. It is a cornerstone of contingent valuation and choice experiments. Conversely, willingness to accept (WTA) captures the minimum compensation required for a person to endure a loss. In practice, WTP and WTA can differ substantially, especially for non-market goods, and the gap is a subject of ongoing research.

Contingent valuation (CV) is a survey-based method that directly asks respondents how much they would be willing to pay for a specific environmental improvement or to avoid a particular degradation. The approach is “contingent” because respondents answer hypothetical questions about a scenario that does not yet exist. A well-designed CV study includes a clear description of the environmental change, a realistic payment vehicle (such as a tax increase), and a structured elicitation format (often dichotomous choice or payment card). For example, a CV study might ask residents of a coastal city how much they would pay annually to protect a coral reef from bleaching. The resulting WTP estimates can be aggregated across the relevant population to derive a total benefit figure.

Travel cost method (TC) infers the value of a site by observing the expenses that visitors incur to reach it. The method treats travel time, fuel, accommodation, and other costs as implicit prices for the recreational service. By plotting travel cost against visitation frequency, analysts estimate a demand curve and derive consumer surplus. TC is commonly applied to national parks, beaches, and historic sites. A classic TC application estimated the recreational value of the Grand Canyon by analyzing airline ticket prices, hotel rates, and mileage costs for visitors from different origin cities.

Hedonic pricing isolates the value of environmental attributes embedded in market prices of related goods, typically housing. The method regresses observed property prices on characteristics such as size, age, and

proximity to amenities, plus environmental variables like air quality, noise levels, or flood risk. The coefficient on an environmental variable represents the marginal price that buyers are willing to pay for a marginal improvement in that attribute. For instance, a hedonic study might find that each additional decibel reduction in traffic noise raises house values by \$1,200, implying a value of clean air for nearby residents.

Choice experiment (CE) extends CV by presenting respondents with a set of alternative policy scenarios, each defined by a combination of attributes and associated costs. Participants choose their preferred option, allowing researchers to estimate the marginal WTP for each attribute using discrete choice models. CE is particularly useful when multiple environmental attributes must be valued simultaneously, such as water quality, biodiversity, and recreational access. The method also accommodates budget constraints and preference heterogeneity, providing richer information than a single-question CV.

Benefit-cost analysis (BCA) compares the present value of benefits derived from an environmental project with the present value of its costs. A positive net present value (NPV) indicates that the project yields more benefits than costs, justifying implementation. BCA requires careful identification, quantification, and monetization of all relevant impacts, including non-use values (such as existence value) and indirect effects (like ecosystem service spillovers). The analysis also depends on the choice of discount rate, which converts future benefits and costs into present terms.

Discount rate is the interest rate used to translate future monetary amounts into present values. In environmental valuation, the discount rate reflects intergenerational equity and the opportunity cost of capital. A higher discount rate diminishes the weight of long-term benefits, potentially biasing decisions against projects with delayed payoffs, such as forest restoration. Conversely, a lower discount rate places greater emphasis on future generations, supporting more conservation-oriented outcomes. The selection of an appropriate discount rate remains a contentious policy issue.

Non-use value captures benefits that people derive from an environmental good even if they never directly use it. Non-use values include existence value (the value of knowing a species exists), option value (the value of preserving the possibility of future use), and bequest value (the value of passing a resource to future generations). These intangible benefits are often estimated using CV or stated-preference methods, because they lack observable market behavior.

Use value represents benefits derived from direct interaction with an environmental resource, such as recreation, fishing, or harvesting timber. Use values can be split into direct use (personal consumption) and indirect use (ecosystem services that support other economic activities). Direct use values are frequently measured with travel cost or revealed-preference techniques, whereas indirect use values may require modeling of ecosystem processes, such as water filtration or pollination.

Ecosystem services are the benefits that humans obtain from ecosystems, categorized into provisioning, regulating, cultural, and supporting services. Provisioning services include tangible products like timber, fish, and freshwater. Regulating services encompass climate regulation, flood control, and disease mitigation.

Cultural services involve recreational, aesthetic, and spiritual benefits. Supporting services, such as nutrient cycling and soil formation, underpin the other three categories. Valuing ecosystem services often requires integrating ecological models with economic valuation methods.

Provisioning service valuation typically relies on market data when prices exist for the extracted goods. For example, the market price of harvested timber can be used to estimate the economic contribution of a forest. When market prices are absent or distorted, shadow pricing or cost-recovery approaches may be employed to infer a more accurate value.

Regulating service valuation frequently uses avoided cost methodology. This approach estimates the costs that society would incur if the natural service were absent and had to be replaced by engineered alternatives. For instance, the value of a wetland's flood-mitigation function can be approximated by the cost of building and maintaining a levee system that would provide comparable protection.

Cultural service valuation can be conducted through stated-preference surveys (CV or CE) that capture recreational and aesthetic preferences, or through revealed-preference techniques that infer value from observed behavior, such as tourism expenditures or real-estate premiums.

Supporting service valuation is the most challenging, as these services are indirect and often not directly perceived by individuals. Researchers sometimes use proxy indicators, such as the contribution of soil formation to agricultural yields, to estimate the economic importance of supporting services.

Externality is a cost or benefit that accrues to third parties not directly involved in a market transaction. Pollution is a classic negative externality: A factory emits emissions that impose health costs on nearby residents. Positive externalities arise when an activity generates benefits for others, such as a beekeeper's hives enhancing pollination of neighboring farms. Valuation of externalities is essential for designing corrective policies, such as taxes, subsidies, or tradable permits.

Pigovian tax is a levy imposed on producers or consumers equal to the marginal external cost of a harmful activity, thereby internalizing the externality. Determining the appropriate tax level requires an accurate estimate of the external cost, often derived from damage functions that link pollutant emissions to health outcomes or ecosystem degradation.

Trade-off analysis examines the relative benefits and costs of alternative policy options, recognizing that resources are scarce and that improving one environmental outcome may worsen another. For example, a dam may increase hydroelectric power (a provision service) while reducing fish habitat (a cultural service). Trade-off analysis uses multi-criteria decision frameworks and often incorporates stakeholder preferences.

Cost-effectiveness analysis (CEA) compares alternative strategies that achieve a predefined environmental target, focusing on the least costly option. CEA is appropriate when benefits are difficult to monetize but the policy goal is clear, such as reducing nitrogen runoff to a specific concentration. The analysis calculates the cost per unit of outcome (e.g., Dollars per kilogram of nitrogen removed).

Damage function quantifies the relationship between an environmental degradation (e.G., Pollutant concentration) and its associated economic loss (e.G., Health costs). Damage functions are integral to integrating environmental impacts into BCA. They are often derived from epidemiological studies, ecological assessments, or expert elicitation.

Shadow price reflects the true economic value of a resource or service when market prices are absent, distorted, or do not capture social benefits. Shadow pricing may be based on opportunity cost, replacement cost, or willingness-to-pay estimates. For instance, the shadow price of clean water in a river basin without a market could be estimated from the WTP of downstream users for improved water quality.

Benefit transfer is the practice of applying valuation estimates from one study (the "source") to a different context (the "target") where primary data are unavailable. Transfer methods range from simple extrapolation (using per-capita values) to sophisticated meta-analysis that adjusts for differences in income, population, and ecological characteristics. Benefit transfer can dramatically reduce research time and cost, but it introduces uncertainty and potential bias if the source and target contexts are not sufficiently comparable.

Meta-analysis aggregates results from multiple primary valuation studies to derive a generalized value function. The technique statistically combines effect sizes, accounting for study heterogeneity, and can produce elasticity estimates that relate value to income, population, or environmental quality. Meta-analysis is a powerful tool for informing benefit-transfer calculations and for identifying patterns across diverse settings.

Elasticity measures the responsiveness of one variable to changes in another. In environmental valuation, income elasticity indicates how WTP for a resource changes as income rises, while own-price elasticity captures how demand for a service varies with its price. Elasticities are useful for scaling valuation results across regions with different income levels.

Option value is the component of non-use value that reflects the benefit of preserving the possibility of future use. It arises because individuals value the flexibility to exploit a resource if circumstances change. Option value is often estimated through contingent valuation questions that ask respondents about their willingness to pay for the potential to use a resource in the future, even if they have no immediate intention to do so.

Existence value reflects the satisfaction derived merely from knowing that a natural asset exists, regardless of any direct interaction. Surveys that ask about willingness to pay to protect an endangered species, even when respondents never intend to see the species, capture existence value. Existence value can be substantial, especially for charismatic megafauna or iconic landscapes.

Bequest value represents the desire to preserve environmental resources for future generations. It is closely linked to intergenerational equity and is often elicited through CV questions that frame the payment as a contribution to a conservation fund for posterity. Bequest value can be particularly strong for heritage sites and ancient ecosystems.

Stated-preference method encompasses survey-based techniques (CV, CE, DCF) that ask individuals to reveal their preferences for hypothetical scenarios. These methods are essential when market data are unavailable, but they depend on careful questionnaire design, avoidance of strategic bias, and mitigation of hypothetical bias.

Revealed-preference method infers preferences from observed behavior in real markets, such as travel expenditures, property price differentials, or labor market choices. Revealed-preference approaches avoid some of the biases associated with surveys, but they require that the behavior directly reflects the value of the environmental attribute of interest and that confounding factors are controlled.

Hybrid method combines elements of stated- and revealed-preference approaches to leverage the strengths of each. For example, a study might use travel cost data to estimate baseline recreation demand and then apply a contingent valuation question to capture willingness to pay for a specific improvement in water quality. Hybrid methods can improve the robustness of valuation results.

Survey bias includes strategic bias (respondents overstate or understate WTP to influence outcomes), information bias (lack of understanding of the environmental issue), and hypothetical bias (inflated WTP because the scenario is not real). Researchers mitigate these biases through pre-testing, providing balanced information, employing certainty scales, and using cheap talk scripts that remind participants to answer realistically.

Scope test is a validity check in contingent valuation that examines whether stated WTP changes proportionally with the magnitude of the environmental change described. If respondents' WTP does not increase when the improvement is larger, the responses may lack sensitivity, indicating potential bias.

Embedding effect occurs when respondents' WTP for a specific environmental good is not significantly different from their WTP for a broader, more inclusive good, suggesting that they treat the valuation as a "donation" rather than a precise economic measure. Embedding can lead to overestimation of benefits and is addressed by careful framing of the valuation question.

Aggregation involves scaling individual WTP estimates to the population level. Simple aggregation multiplies average WTP by the number of individuals, but this can be misleading if WTP varies with income, preferences, or exposure. More sophisticated aggregation uses weighting schemes, segmentation, or meta-analysis results to reflect heterogeneity.

Benefit-cost ratio (BCR) is the ratio of total discounted benefits to total discounted costs. A BCR greater than one indicates that benefits exceed costs. While BCR is a convenient summary metric, it can mask distributional effects and may be sensitive to the choice of discount rate and the inclusion of non-use values.

Net present value (NPV) is the difference between the present value of benefits and the present value of costs. Positive NPV signals a worthwhile investment. NPV is preferred over BCR when comparing projects of

different scales, because it reflects absolute magnitude rather than a ratio that can be inflated by small cost bases.

Distributional analysis examines how the costs and benefits of an environmental project are allocated across different groups, such as income classes, geographic regions, or demographic categories. This analysis is critical for equity considerations and for designing policies that are socially acceptable. For instance, a pollution control program may impose higher compliance costs on low-income neighborhoods, requiring compensatory measures.

Monte Carlo simulation is a computational technique that draws random samples from probability distributions of key parameters (e.g., Discount rate, WTP, damage function coefficients) to assess the uncertainty in BCA outcomes. By running thousands of iterations, analysts generate a distribution of NPV or BCR values, enabling the calculation of confidence intervals and risk metrics.

Sensitivity analysis systematically varies input assumptions to test how changes affect the results. Simple one-way sensitivity tests alter a single parameter while holding others constant, whereas multi-way or probabilistic sensitivity analyses explore joint variations. Sensitivity analysis helps identify which variables drive the results and where data improvements would be most valuable.

Scenario analysis evaluates the performance of a project under alternative future conditions, such as different climate pathways, population growth rates, or policy environments. Scenario analysis is especially relevant for long-term environmental projects, where uncertainty about future states is high.

Cost-effectiveness frontier visualizes the trade-off between different policy options by plotting cost per unit of outcome for each alternative. Options that lie on the frontier are Pareto-optimal, meaning that any improvement in cost would require a reduction in effectiveness, and vice versa.

Spatial analysis integrates geographic information systems (GIS) with valuation to account for the location-specific nature of many ecosystem services. Spatially explicit models can map the distribution of benefits, identify hotspots of high value, and support land-use planning. For example, a GIS-based hedonic model might estimate the impact of proximity to a protected wetland on house prices across a city.

Temporal discounting acknowledges that individuals often prefer present consumption to future consumption, leading to a diminishing weight on benefits that accrue far in the future. In environmental valuation, temporal discounting raises ethical questions about how much weight should be given to benefits that primarily affect future generations, such as climate mitigation.

Intergenerational equity is the principle that current generations should not deplete natural capital to the detriment of future generations. Valuation methods incorporate this principle through the choice of discount rate, the inclusion of long-term non-use values, and the explicit weighting of future benefits in BCA.

Ecological economics emphasizes the economy's dependence on ecological systems and advocates for the inclusion of natural capital in national accounting. It often adopts a broader view of value, incorporating ethical, cultural, and intrinsic dimensions that may resist monetization. Ecological economists argue for a "steady-state" economy that respects planetary boundaries.

Natural capital is the stock of natural assets—forests, soils, water, biodiversity—that provide ecosystem services. Valuing natural capital involves estimating both the current flow of services and the future capacity of ecosystems to generate those services. Natural-capital accounting can inform policy by highlighting the contribution of ecosystems to GDP and by identifying unsustainable depletion.

Carbon sequestration is a regulating service where forests, soils, and oceans absorb carbon dioxide from the atmosphere, mitigating climate change. Valuation of carbon sequestration typically uses the social cost of carbon (SCC), which estimates the present value of damages from an additional ton of CO₂ emissions. The SCC is a policy-relevant parameter that can be applied in BCA to account for climate benefits of afforestation projects.

Social cost of carbon (SCC) is a comprehensive estimate of the economic damages associated with an incremental increase in atmospheric CO₂ concentration. The SCC integrates impacts on agriculture, health, property damage from sea-level rise, and ecosystem services. It is updated periodically by government agencies and serves as a discount-rate-adjusted metric for climate-related valuation.

Water footprint quantifies the volume of freshwater used directly and indirectly to produce a good or service. Valuation of water footprints can inform pricing policies, water-rights allocations, and sustainability certifications. For example, a product's water footprint can be monetized by applying a marginal value of water derived from travel-cost studies in water-scarce regions.

Habitat valuation assesses the worth of biological habitats based on their contribution to biodiversity, recreation, and ecosystem functioning. Techniques include CV surveys that ask about willingness to pay for habitat protection, as well as benefit-transfer methods that apply values from similar habitats elsewhere. Habitat valuation supports decisions about land-use conversion, protected-area designation, and habitat restoration.

Pollination services are a critical provisioning service for many crops. Valuation often uses the marginal product approach, estimating the increase in crop yield attributable to pollinators and applying market prices to the additional output. Alternatively, replacement cost methods calculate the expense of hiring commercial pollinators if natural pollination were lost.

Flood mitigation is a regulating service provided by wetlands, floodplains, and riparian buffers. Valuation may use avoided cost (the expense of constructing levees), damage reduction (estimated reductions in property loss), or willingness-to-pay surveys that capture residents' preferences for flood protection.

Air purification services of forests and urban green spaces remove pollutants and particulate matter,

improving public health. Valuation can employ dose-response functions linking pollutant reductions to avoided health costs, or contingent valuation that asks residents how much they would pay for cleaner air. The health cost approach often yields higher valuations because it captures morbidity and mortality reductions.

Recreational fishing generates use value through direct consumption and associated tourism spending. Travel-cost models estimate consumer surplus by analyzing the relationship between travel expenditures and fishing trips. In addition, catch-per-unit-effort data can be combined with market prices for fish to compute direct economic returns.

Carbon offset projects generate credits by reducing or sequestering emissions, which can be purchased by entities seeking to compensate for their own emissions. Valuation of offsets involves estimating the quantity of CO₂ avoided and applying the prevailing market price for carbon credits or the SCC. Offsets raise issues of additionality, permanence, and leakage, which must be addressed in valuation.

Environmental impact assessment (EIA) is a procedural tool that evaluates the potential environmental consequences of a proposed project before decisions are made. Valuation is an integral component of EIA, providing quantitative estimates of benefits and costs that inform mitigation measures, alternatives analysis, and stakeholder consultation.

Strategic environmental assessment (SEA) extends the EIA process to policies, plans, and programs, ensuring that environmental considerations are integrated early in the decision-making hierarchy. Valuation in SEA often requires scenario analysis and aggregation of impacts across large spatial and temporal scales.

Stakeholder analysis identifies the groups affected by an environmental project, characterizes their interests, and assesses their influence. Engaging stakeholders improves the relevance of valuation studies by incorporating local knowledge, preferences, and concerns. Stakeholder participation can also enhance the legitimacy and acceptance of policy outcomes.

Participatory valuation involves community members directly in the valuation process, often through workshops, focus groups, or deliberative forums. Methods such as deliberative monetary valuation combine group discussion with individual WTP elicitation, aiming to capture collective preferences and to reduce individual bias.

Deliberative monetary valuation (DMV) merges the rigor of stated-preference surveys with the depth of participatory discussions. Participants first discuss the environmental issue, then individually state their WTP, and finally revisit their responses after group deliberation. DMV can produce more informed and stable WTP estimates, especially for complex or contested resources.

Institutional analysis examines the formal and informal rules, governance structures, and enforcement mechanisms that shape environmental outcomes. Valuation studies must consider institutional context because it influences the feasibility of policy instruments, the effectiveness of incentives, and the

distribution of costs and benefits.

Legal valuation is used in litigation to quantify damages for environmental harm, such as pollution, habitat loss, or breach of conservation agreements. Courts often rely on expert testimony to present CV or hedonic pricing evidence, and the credibility of the valuation methodology can affect legal outcomes.

Policy instrument refers to the specific tool used to achieve environmental objectives, such as taxes, subsidies, tradable permits, command-and-control regulations, or voluntary agreements. Valuation informs the design of policy instruments by indicating the magnitude of benefits, the cost-effectiveness of alternatives, and the likely behavioral responses.

Tradable permit system (TPS) allocates a limited number of emission allowances that can be bought and sold, creating a market for pollution reduction. Valuation of TPS outcomes uses the market price of permits as a signal of marginal abatement cost, and can be combined with damage cost estimates to assess overall welfare impacts.

Subsidy provides financial support to encourage desirable environmental behaviors, such as renewable energy adoption or habitat restoration. Valuation of subsidies requires estimating the incremental increase in activity due to the subsidy (the marginal response) and comparing the cost of the subsidy to the benefits generated.

Regulatory impact analysis (RIA) evaluates the economic implications of proposed regulations, including compliance costs, administrative burdens, and anticipated benefits. Valuation methods are employed to quantify benefits such as reduced health risks, improved ecosystem services, and avoided damages.

Risk assessment identifies and evaluates the probability and magnitude of adverse environmental outcomes. Valuation can incorporate risk by applying expected-value calculations, where the probability of an event is multiplied by its estimated monetary loss, thereby integrating uncertainty into BCA.

Precautionary principle advocates for preventive action when there is scientific uncertainty about potential environmental harm. In valuation, the precautionary principle may justify adopting a lower discount rate, incorporating higher safety margins, or assigning higher weight to non-use values to avoid underestimating risks.

Carbon budgeting sets a cap on cumulative CO₂ emissions compatible with climate targets. Valuation of carbon budgets involves estimating the social cost of carbon for each ton emitted and assessing the trade-offs between emissions and other economic activities.

Green accounting expands traditional national accounts to include environmental assets and flows, providing a more comprehensive picture of national wealth. Valuation of natural capital is a key component of green accounting, enabling policymakers to track changes in ecosystem services over time.

Life-cycle assessment (LCA) evaluates the environmental impacts of a product or service from extraction

through disposal. While LCA traditionally focuses on physical flows, integrating valuation allows for monetary expression of impacts, facilitating comparison with economic costs.

Environmental accounting standards such as the System of Environmental-Economic Accounting (SEEA) provide guidelines for measuring and reporting natural-capital stocks and ecosystem-service flows. Valuation techniques underpin the monetary components of these standards, ensuring consistency across jurisdictions.

Environmental justice addresses the disproportionate exposure of marginalized communities to environmental hazards and the unequal distribution of environmental benefits. Valuation studies that incorporate distributional analysis can reveal inequities and support corrective policies, such as targeted subsidies or remediation programs.

Adaptive management is an iterative approach to resource management that adjusts actions based on monitoring outcomes and learning. Valuation can inform adaptive management by providing baseline benefit estimates, identifying thresholds for action, and evaluating the cost-effectiveness of alternative management pathways.

Threshold effects occur when ecosystem services respond non-linearly to changes in environmental variables, leading to abrupt shifts once a critical point is crossed. Recognizing thresholds is essential for valuation because small changes near a tipping point can generate large benefits or losses, affecting the shape of damage functions.

Non-linear valuation captures the reality that many environmental benefits do not increase proportionally with improvements. For example, the marginal value of additional water quality may be high when conditions are poor but diminish as water becomes cleaner. Non-linear models, such as logistic or piecewise functions, better reflect these dynamics.

Dynamic valuation incorporates temporal changes in ecosystem services, accounting for growth, decay, or regeneration over time. Dynamic models may use differential equations to simulate stock-flow relationships, allowing the calculation of present values of future service streams.

Discounted cash flow (DCF) analysis projects future cash inflows and outflows related to an environmental project and discounts them to present value. DCF is widely used for investment appraisal of renewable energy projects, where revenue from electricity sales and operating costs are modeled over the project life.

Project appraisal evaluates the feasibility, profitability, and risk of a specific environmental investment. Valuation provides the benefit side of appraisal, while cost estimates, financing structures, and sensitivity analysis complete the assessment.

Cost-benefit threshold is a predefined criterion, such as a minimum NPV or BCR, that a project must meet to be approved. Setting thresholds helps ensure that limited public funds are allocated to projects that

generate net positive welfare.

Opportunity cost reflects the value of the best alternative foregone when a resource is allocated to a particular use. In environmental valuation, opportunity cost can be expressed as the forgone revenue from timber extraction when a forest is set aside for conservation.

Marginal analysis examines the incremental benefits and costs of a small change in resource use. Marginal valuation estimates, such as the marginal WTP for an additional unit of water quality improvement, guide efficient allocation of resources by indicating where the marginal benefit equals the marginal cost.

Supply-and-demand framework underpins many valuation methods, linking the quantity of an environmental service supplied by ecosystems to the demand expressed by users. Hedonic and travel-cost models, for instance, estimate demand curves that intersect with supply estimates to determine equilibrium values.

Externality internalization seeks to align private incentives with social welfare by incorporating external costs or benefits into market transactions. Valuation quantifies the externality, enabling policymakers to design taxes, subsidies, or tradable permits that correct the market failure.

Co-benefits are additional positive outcomes that arise from a policy primarily targeting another objective. For example, a renewable-energy incentive may also reduce air pollution, delivering health co-benefits that can be valued using avoided-cost methods.

Co-costs are unintended negative side effects of a policy. Valuation of co-costs is essential for a balanced BCA, ensuring that all relevant impacts are accounted for. An example is increased water consumption associated with biofuel production, which may impose additional stress on water resources.

Benefit-cost gap refers to the difference between estimated benefits and costs, often expressed as a percentage. A large gap indicates high economic efficiency, while a small or negative gap signals potential inefficiency or the need for project redesign.

Market failure occurs when markets do not allocate resources efficiently, typically due to externalities, public goods, information asymmetries, or monopolistic power. Valuation helps diagnose market failures by quantifying the magnitude of unaccounted benefits or costs.

Public good is a good that is non-rivalrous and non-excludable, meaning one person's consumption does not reduce availability for others, and no one can be prevented from using it. Clean air and biodiversity are classic public goods, requiring collective action and often justifying government intervention.

Club good is non-rivalrous but excludable; access can be restricted through membership or fees. Some environmental amenities, such as a privately managed nature reserve, function as club goods. Valuation must consider the mechanism of exclusion when estimating WTP.

Common-pool resource is rivalrous but non-excludable, leading to potential over-use and depletion (the “tragedy of the commons”). Fisheries and groundwater basins are common-pool resources. Valuation informs the design of management regimes—such as quotas or property rights—that mitigate over-exploitation.

Non-rivalrous describes a characteristic where consumption by one individual does not diminish the ability of others to consume the same good. Many ecosystem services, such as climate regulation, exhibit non-rivalry, influencing the choice of policy instruments.

Non-excludable indicates that it is difficult or impossible to prevent individuals from benefiting from a good. This feature often leads to free-rider problems, where individuals understate their true WTP in surveys. Mitigation strategies include using binding payment mechanisms or employing certainty scales.

Free-rider problem arises when individuals benefit from a collective good without contributing to its provision, leading to underfunding. In valuation surveys, respondents may claim low WTP because they expect others to pay, biasing results downward. Techniques such as “split-sample” designs and “cheap talk” scripts are used to reduce free-rider bias.

Split-sample design randomly assigns respondents to different versions of a questionnaire, allowing researchers to test the effect of framing, payment vehicle, or elicitation format on WTP responses. Split-sample designs provide insight into the robustness of valuation results.

Cheap talk script is a pre-survey statement that reminds participants to consider realistic constraints and to avoid overstating their willingness to pay. Cheap talk has been shown to reduce hypothetical bias in CV studies by prompting respondents to think like actual market participants.

Certainty scale asks respondents to rate their confidence in the stated WTP amount, typically on a Likert scale. Researchers may adjust WTP values based on certainty levels, excluding responses below a certain threshold to improve data quality.

Monte Carlo risk analysis integrates probability distributions for uncertain parameters into BCA, generating a range of possible outcomes. The resulting probability distribution of NPV can be used to assess the likelihood of project success and to inform risk-averse decision-makers.

Scenario tree visualizes alternative futures, each branch representing a different combination of assumptions (e.g., Climate change intensity, policy adoption). Scenario trees facilitate structured analysis of complex uncertainties and help stakeholders understand the implications of different pathways.

Value of a statistical life (VSL) quantifies the monetary value that society places on reducing the risk of death. VSL is derived from labor-market studies that observe wage premiums for riskier jobs, or from CV studies that ask individuals how much they would pay for small reductions in mortality risk. VSL is used to monetize health benefits from pollution control.

Cost of illness estimates the economic burden of disease, including medical expenses, lost productivity, and premature mortality. In environmental valuation, cost-of-illness studies provide an alternative to VSL for monetizing health impacts of air or water pollution.

Damage cost is the monetary loss associated with environmental degradation, such as reduced crop yields from soil erosion or increased treatment costs from water contamination. Damage cost methods combine exposure data with dose-response relationships and unit cost estimates.

Unit cost refers to the monetary value assigned to a single unit of environmental damage or service, such as dollars per kilogram of pollutant removed or per hectare of wetland restored. Unit costs are essential building blocks for scaling up to total project benefits or costs.

Benefit transfer scaling factor adjusts a source study's unit values to reflect differences between the source and target contexts. Scaling factors may account for income elasticity, population density, or ecological similarity. Accurate scaling is critical to avoid over- or under-estimation when applying transferred values.

Benefit transfer uncertainty arises from the limited comparability of source and target sites, the variability of underlying data, and methodological choices. Analysts often report a confidence interval around transferred estimates, acknowledging the inherent imprecision.

Ecological threshold is a point at which a small change in environmental conditions leads to a qualitative shift in ecosystem structure or function. Valuation must consider thresholds because crossing them can produce large, irreversible losses that traditional linear damage functions would underestimate.

Contingent valuation bias includes strategic, informational, and hypothetical biases. Addressing these biases requires rigorous questionnaire design, pre-testing, and the use of mitigation techniques such as cheap talk, certainty scales, and follow-up validation questions.

Choice modelling bias can arise from attribute non-attendance (respondents ignoring certain attributes), scale heterogeneity (differences in error variance across respondents), or design inefficiencies. Advanced econometric models, such as mixed logit and latent class analysis, help detect and correct for these biases.

Revealed-preference bias may occur when the observed behavior does not fully capture the value of interest, due to constraints, imperfect information, or multi-purpose trips. Adjustments, such as multi-destination travel models or inclusion of dummy variables, improve the accuracy of revealed-preference estimates.

Attribution problem refers to difficulty in linking observed environmental changes to specific causes, which complicates valuation. For example, isolating the effect of a single pollutant on health outcomes may be challenging when multiple exposures co-occur. Causal inference techniques, such as regression discontinuity or instrumental variables, can strengthen attribution.

Spatial spillover occurs when the benefits or costs of an environmental project extend beyond its immediate

geographic boundaries. Valuation must account for spillovers to avoid double-counting or omitting relevant impacts. GIS-based analysis and stakeholder mapping are tools for identifying spillover zones.

Temporal spillover captures inter-temporal effects, such as future benefits from present ecosystem restoration. Discounting and dynamic modeling ensure that temporal spillovers are incorporated appropriately in BCA.

Ecological economics valuation sometimes adopts non-monetary indicators, such as ecological footprint, biodiversity indices, or cultural significance scores. While these metrics lack a monetary unit, they provide complementary information that can inform holistic decision-making.